Reply to Office Action of: March 17, 2006

REMARKS

Remaining in the case is Claim 1 with dependent Claims 2, 3 and 4 as amended; Claim 5

as rewritten with dependent Claims 7-9; Claim 10; Claim 11 with dependent Claims 12-14; and

Claim 15 with dependent Claims 16-18. Examiner Doerrler has found Claims 1-3 and 11-18

allowable. Claims 4 and 7 were rejected under 35 USC 112 with indication that these claims

would be allowable if correct dependency was established. Claims 5, 8 and 9 were rejected

under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Valencia et al. Reconsideration of this rejection is

respectfully requested.

Claim 5 has been rewritten to include the subject matter of Claim 6 which Examiner

Doerrler indicated would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Therefore, it is deemed

that Claim 5 as amended is allowable and thus Claim 7 which depends from it would in like

manner be allowable.

The patent to Valencia et al. has been cited, however, the amendments herein remove the

applicability of this patent to the claims now pending in the case.

It is therefore believed that the amendment herein places all of the claims in condition for

a Notice of Allowance which is respectfully requested. If for any reason all the remaining claims

are not allowable, it is due to a misunderstanding on the part of the undersigned and therefore

Examiner Doerrler is invited to call the undersigned at the below noted telephone number so that

8

Application No.: 10/697,380 Amendment dated: May 4, 2006

Reply to Office Action of: March 17, 2006

any further amendments necessary to place this case in condition for allowance can be expeditiously consummated by a telephone interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul H. Johnson

Date: May 4, 2006

Registration No. 19,224 PTO Customer No. 28,827 GABLE & GOTWALS 100 West 5th St., 10th Floor

Tulsa, OK 74103 Tel: (918) 595-4963 Fax: (918) 595-4990

E-mail: iplaw@gablelaw.com